

## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

Petition:

EAC 99 006 50089

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

SEP 14 2000

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and

Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Public Copy

## INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> Identifying data dented to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

rrance M. O'Reilly, Director Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner on a motion to reopen and reconsider. The motion will be granted and the previous decisions of the director and the Associate Commissioner will be affirmed.

The petitioner is a laundry and dry cleaning firm with three employees and unspecified gross annual income. It seeks to continue to employ the beneficiary as a manager for a period of two years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the offered position is a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel argued that the offered position is a specialty occupation and the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. On motion, counsel emphasizes that the beneficiary is already working with the firm as a manager and that the petitioner is only requesting an extension of her stay in the United States. Counsel states that the petitioner would not have hired the beneficiary if she had no bachelors degree and that the offered position requires such a degree with no licensure requirement.

Counsel asserts that the Department of Labor has determined that the offered position is a specialty occupation. However, a reference in the Department of Labor's <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)</u>, Fourth Edition, 1977 is not enough to establish an occupation is within the professions or is a specialty occupation. The <u>DOT</u> is not designed as a definitive guide for adjudication of petitions for immigration benefits. The Department of Labor acknowledged this fact on page xiii of the <u>DOT</u> when it stated:

In using the <u>Dictionary</u>, one should note that the U.S. Employment Service has no responsibility for...setting jurisdictional matters in relation to different occupations.

Section 101(a) (15) (H) (i) (b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a) (15) (H) (i) (b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(2), to qualify as an alien coming to perform services in a specialty

occupation the beneficiary must hold full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation. In addition, the beneficiary must have completed the degree required for the occupation, or have experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

- 1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
- 2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay, and
- 3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation.

The petitioner has provided a certified labor condition application and a statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, the alien must meet one of the following criteria:

- 1. Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- 2. Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- 3. Hold an unrestricted State license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
- 4. Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation and have recognition

of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

beneficiary holds a baccalaureate degree in administration conferred by an institution in the Philippines. Business administration is a general term including both professional and nonprofessional activities. A degree in business administration alone is, consequently, insufficient to qualify the holder as a member of the professions or of a specialty occupation unless the academic courses pursued and the knowledge gained are realistic prerequisites to a particular occupation within the broad field of business administration and unless that person is engaged, or intends to engage, in that occupation. Matter of Ling, 13 I&N Dec. 35 (Reg. Comm. 1968). In this proceeding, the petitioner has not demonstrated the proposed duties require a specific degree in business administration or the beneficiary has specialized in a specific field of study. Accordingly, it is concluded that the petitioner has not shown that the beneficiary qualifies to perform the duties of a specialty occupation based upon education alone.

The petitioner has provided insufficient information regarding the nature and duration of the beneficiary's employment experience. The beneficiary is not a member of any organizations whose usual prerequisite for entry is a baccalaureate degree in a specialized area. The record contains no evidence that the beneficiary holds a state license, registration, or certification which authorizes her to practice a specialty occupation. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the beneficiary qualifies to perform services in a specialty occupation.

The term "specialty occupation" is defined at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, engineering, mathematics, architecture, medicine and social sciences, specialties, accounting, education, business theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;

- 2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- 3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- 4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The duties of the offered position are described as follows:

Directs and coordinates activities of clerical personnel. Analyzes and organizes office operations and procedures such as typing, bookkeeping, preparation of payroll, flow of correspondence, filing, requisition of supplies. Evaluates office production. Plans office layouts and initiates cost reduction programs. Prepares activities reports for guidance of management. Prepares employees' ratings and conducts employee benefit and insurance programs. May prepare organizational budget and monthly financial reports.

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. Although the beneficiary had attained a bachelor's degree, the petitioner has not shown that it has, in the past, required the services of individuals with baccalaureate or higher degrees in a specialized area for the offered position. In addition, the petitioner has not shown that similar firms require the services of such individuals in parallel positions.

In these proceedings, the duties of the position are dispositive and not the job title. The proffered position appears to combine the duties of a general manager or executive with those of a clerical manager. The Department of Labor's Occupational Outlook Handbook ("Handbook"), 2000-2001 edition, at pages 50-51 finds no requirement of a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specialized area for employment as a general manager or executive. Degrees in business and in liberal arts fields appear equally welcome. In addition, certain personal qualities and participation in company training programs are often considered as important as a specific formal academic background.

The <u>Handbook</u> at pages 311-312 also finds no requirement of a baccalaureate degree <u>in a specialized area</u> for employment as a clerical manager. The usual requirement is promotion from an

administrative support or clerical position from within the organization. There is no indication that a degree in a specialized area is required. In view of the foregoing, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of regulations.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The order of August 4, 1999 dismissing the appeal is affirmed.